

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT by YES on PROP. 5

to the ARG. AGAINST PROP. 5

JUDGE JAMES P. GRAY SAYS:

Don't believe the scare tactics.

Under Prop. 5, judges make the call as to which nonviolent offenders get into treatment and which don't. Judges know how to separate dangerous offenders from deserving cases. We do it every day.

Nothing in Prop. 5 prevents judges from sentencing dangerous offenders for the crimes mentioned by opponents.

Prop. 5 is a good law that preserves judges' discretion and gives us new powers to hold offenders accountable during drug treatment.

FORMER POLICE CHIEF NORM STAMPER SAYS:

Prop. 5 separates violent offenders from nonviolent offenders. It gives nonviolent offenders who are ready to change an opportunity, and a reason, to do so.

Prop. 5 protects public safety by strictly limiting its benefits to those with no history of serious or violent crime, or who have served their time and been crime-free for five years.

Eighty percent of the people in California prisons have a problem with substance abuse.

Most get no treatment. After prison, many go back to drugs and return to prison.

We must break the cycle of crime. Drug treatment and rehabilitation can do that.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION 5

YOUTH DRUG TREATMENT SPECIALIST ALBERT SENELLA SAYS:

We must prevent kids from using drugs and help those who have already started.

Prop. 5 would create California's first network of treatment programs for young people.

It helps kids avoid addiction.

The League of Women Voters of California has endorsed Prop. 5. It's the safe, smart way to bring about the change we need.

Judge James P. Gray, Orange County Superior Court

Norm Stamper, former Assistant Chief of Police, San Diego

Albert Senella, Chief Operating Officer, Tarzana Treatment Centers